

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/903,368	07/11/2001	William P. Tanguay	99545 (4254-15)	9563
26753	7590 07/29/2003			
ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP			EXAMINER	
	ST WISCONSIN AVENUE, SUITE 1100 .UKEE, WI 53202		POLK, SHARON A	
		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		2,			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/903,368	TANGUAY, WILLIAM P.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sharon Polk	2836			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover she t with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.	<u>June 2003</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims AND Claim(a) 1 16 is/are pending in the application	•				
4) ○ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>4 and 12</u> is/are allowed.				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>4 and 12</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5,-11, 13-16</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 11 July 2001 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
<u> </u>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
_ ' '	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 	· ·				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 09/903,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 2836

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-14, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne et al., US 4,227,062 in view of Rulseh, US 3,992,960, and further in view of Silveri, US 6,007,693.

With regard to claims 1, and 9 Payne et al. teach:

a timer for controlling the activation of a load (10) during repeating cycles, the timer including:

a control unit (72) having an internal timer (148);

a relay unit connected between the control unit and the load, wherein the control unit activates the relay unit to supply power to the load (col. 10, lines 3-9);

a time base dial (34) coupled to the control unit, the time base dial movable between a plurality of discrete time base settings to set the duration for the repeating cycle in the control unit, and

Application/Control Number: 09/903,368

Art Unit: 2836

a duty cycle dial (36) coupled to the control unit (fig. 5), the duty cycle dial movable between a plurality of discrete duty cycle settings to set the percentage of actuation time of the load during each repeating cycle in the control unit, (col. 6, lines 49-52).

Payne et al. teach the claimed invention except for the time base settings increase non-linearly from a minimum setting to a maximum setting. However, **Rulseh** teaches this feature (fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Payne et al. with the teaching of Rulseh for the purpose of providing a manual preset timer having a high constant speed timing range for short accurate timings, a low constant speed timing range for longer timings and an intermediate variable speed timing range connecting the two constant speed timing ranges (col. 1, lines 46-51).

Payne et al. as modified by Rulseh teach the claimed timer, but lack the explicit teaching of the user can select between the plurality of durations by moving the time base dial between the plurality of the time base settings, and that the user can select between the plurality of percentages by moving the duty cycle dial between the plurality of duty cycle settings, and further that the duration of the repeating cycle is selectable independently from the percentage of the actuation time of the load. These features is taught by Silveri (e.g., fig. 17a, 27:11-28). One skilled in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivated to modify the timer as by Payne et al. in view of Rulseh for the purpose of actuating the halogen generator at regular intervals throughout the day (27:9-10).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,368

Art Unit: 2836

With regard **to claims 2, and 10,** Payne et al. teach that duty cycles increase linearly (col. 7, lines 1-6).

With regard **to claims 3, and 11,** Payne et al. teach the claimed invention except for the duty cycle settings increase non-linearly from a minimum setting to a maximum setting. However, Rulseh teaches non-liner timing (fig. 1).

With regard to **claims 5**, **and 13**, adding the limitation of the increase in value of the duty cycle, Payne et al. teach this feature (fig. 3).

With regard to **claims 6, and 14** official notice is taken that time setting markers can vary in range. As such, it is a matter of design choice based on what is being timed.

With regard to **claims 8, and 16**, adding the limitation of the increase in value of the time base, Payne et al. teach this feature (4a-4e).

Claims 7, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payne et al., Rulseh, and Silveri as applied to claims 1, and 9 and further in view of Scalf, US 4,430,540.

With regard to **claims 7**, **and 15**, neither Payne et al., nor Rulseh, Silveri teach the claimed "time base dial." **Scalf teaches** this feature (abstract, fig. 12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Payne et al. with the teachings of Scalf, for the purpose of providing an electronic which is economically competitive with electromechanical devices such as time motors while performing comparable or improved functions (col. 1, lines 60-64).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,368

Art Unit: 2836

With regard to the 32 discrete settings, official notice is taken that the number of setting is a matter of design choice, as shown in Scalf's figures 2 and 4 for the purpose of providing a visual display of actual remaining time.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4 and 12 are allowed. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not teach or fairly suggest, having smaller increments near both the minimum and maximum settings and larger settings at the midpoint between the maximum and minimum settings in combination with the other recited elements of the claimed timer.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Communication with the PTO

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Polk whose telephone number is 703-308-6257. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Sircus can be reached on 703-308-3119. The fax phone numbers for

Application/Control Number: 09/903,368 Page 6

Art Unit: 2836

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

sp July 23, 2003

BRIAN SIRCUS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800